```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      DISTRICT OF OREGON
 2
 3
                       PORTLAND DIVISION
 4
 5
     KELLY CAHILL, SARA JOHNSTON,
     LINDSAY ELIZABETH, and HEATHER
 6
     HENDER, individually and on
     behalf of others similarly
 8
 9
     situated,
                   Plaintiffs,
10
11
           v.
                                       ) 3:18-cv-01477-JR
12
     NIKE, INC., an Oregon
13
     corporation,
                  Defendant.
14
15
16
                 DEPOSITION OF PAIGE AZAVEDO
17
                       January 29, 2021
18
                            Friday
19
                          10:02 A.M.
20
21
                THE VIDEOCONFERENCE VIDEO-RECORDED
     DEPOSITION OF PAIGE AZAVEDO was taken at Portland,
22
23
     Oregon, before Jan R. Duiven, CSR, FCRR, RPR, CRC,
     Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State
24
25
     of Oregon.
                                                  Page 1
```

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 2 of 15

1	A. Yes.	16:03:23
2	Q. Okay. In what ways do you believe	16:03:25
<u>3</u>	discriminated against you?	16:03:28
<u>4</u>	A. Hmm. I'm just going to use ","	16:03:34
<u>5</u>	if that's okay, instead of his last name.	16:03:42
<u>6</u>	Q. To call him ?	16:03:44
<u>7</u>	A. Yeah.	16:03:47
<u>8</u>	Q. Sure.	16:03:49
<u>9</u>	A. Is that okay? Yeah. So from the very	16:03:49
<u>10</u>	beginning of our working relationship, and I	16:03:55
<u>11</u>	when I say beginning, the very first meeting that	16:03:59
<u>12</u>	I had with him, he made it very clear that he was	16:04:03
<u>13</u>	on his way to becoming VP. He had full support	16:04:08
<u>14</u>	of of the leaders within the organization. He	<u>16:04:15</u>
<u>15</u>	was part of accelerate. That he was that he	16:04:19
<u>16</u>	was basically on his way.	16:04:22
<u>17</u>	And that he required the team to kind	16:04:25
<u>18</u>	of get on board, and for me to get on board as	16:04:28
<u>19</u>	as he was kind of making that his way through	16:04:31
<u>20</u>	that.	16:04:34
<u>21</u>	So there was a bit of just a an	16:04:34
<u>22</u>	intimidation factor, I would say, just off the bat	16:04:40
<u>23</u>	in the very first meeting where I met him. And	16:04:43
<u>24</u>	then throughout the course of of my working for	16:04:48
<u>25</u>	him, it just it just got worse.	16:04:51
		Page 178

1	<u>We we had we were a new team</u>	16:04:56
2	within the organization. As I mentioned, we were	16:04:59
<u>3</u>	brought over our wholesale picked up and moved	16:05:01
<u>4</u>	into digital brand from the retail brand team and	16:05:04
<u>5</u>	so the team wasn't really clear about what we did	16:05:08
<u>6</u>	and who we were.	16:05:12
<u>7</u>	And and so, instead of	16:05:15
8	bringing us together, he basically he basically	16:05:20
<u>9</u>	kind of segmented us off to the off to the side	16:05:27
10	and didn't he would consistently put me down in	16:05:30
<u>11</u>	front of people. He would he would he would	16:05:36
<u>12</u>	tell me and and others on the team that I was	16:05:41
<u>13</u>	doing a terrible job. That I was a bad you	16:05:46
<u>14</u>	know, bad leader.	16:05:49
<u>15</u>	<u>That he you know, when there were</u>	16:05:50
<u>16</u>	opportunities for kind of larger programs or	16:05:54
<u>17</u>	larger opportunities within the org, he gave it to	16:06:00
<u>18</u>	his his boys which, you know, is like	16:06:04
<u>19</u>	÷	16:06:07
20	There was a I think one other I	16:06:14
21	can't remember his name off the top of my head,	16:06:16
22	those guys were the ones who were who were kind	16:06:18
23	of given the larger opportunities to do the more	16:06:21
<u>24</u>	visible-to-leadership programming.	16:06:32
<u>25</u>	And he was just he was so	16:06:33
		Page 179

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 4 of 15

1	demeaning, you know, in my in my the minute	16:06:34
<u>2</u>	when I finally just ended up where I'd had enough	16:06:41
<u>3</u>	is when, you know, he in a meeting with just	16:06:46
<u>4</u>	the two of us, he's you know, apologize for my	16:06:49
<u>5</u>	language, but, you know, he said, "I don't even	16:06:53
<u>6</u>	know what the fuck you do all day. I don't know	16:06:55
<u>7</u>	what your team does all day, but you better get on	16:06:57
<u>8</u>	board or you're done. You're out."	16:07:01
<u>9</u>	And he would he would threaten me	16:07:02
10	all the time with either get on board, get your	16:07:04
<u>11</u>	shit together, or you're going to be fired.	16:07:09
<u>12</u>	And that was done over the phone. It	16:07:14
<u>13</u>	was done in one-on-ones. And he would threaten	16:07:17
<u>14</u>	people on my team as well. So Chelsea and Kerry,	16:07:22
<u>15</u>	in particular, were were folks that he had	16:07:26
<u>16</u>	targeted as issues on the team.	16:07:30
<u>17</u>	He had no examples of any of the	16:07:34
<u>18</u>	things that were problems for any of us, myself,	16:07:39
<u>19</u>	Chelsea, Kerry. He would never give specific	16:07:43
20	examples or provide any specific documentation of	16:07:46
<u>21</u>	any issues. He would just say, "You guys you	16:07:49
22	guys need to get your shit together or you're	16:07:52
23	gone."	16:07:54
24	So that those are this was	16:07:55
<u>25</u>	this was kind of a not kind of. It was a	16:08:00
		Page 180

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 5 of 15

1	weekly and often more than once during the	16:08:02
<u>2</u>	week phenomenon in which he would he would	16:08:07
<u>3</u>	threaten that over the course of my time reporting	16:08:11
<u>4</u>	to him.	16:08:14
<u>5</u>	Q. Okay. Any other ways that you believe	16:08:17
<u>6</u>	discriminated against you or members of	16:08:19
7	your team based on your gender?	16:08:23
8	A. I mean, I guess, no. I think that	16:08:24
<u>9</u>	there was there was other instances within the	16:08:38
10	organization with but those are those	16:08:41
<u>11</u>	are them.	16:08:44
<u>12</u>	O. When you say, "Other instances within	16:08:46
<u>13</u>	the organization," are you referring to what you	16:08:54
<u>14</u>	told me about earlier with and the gentleman's	16:08:57
<u>15</u>	name who I'm forgetting right now?	16:09:04
<u>16</u>	<u>A.</u>	16:09:05
<u>17</u>	Q. Yeah. Is that what you're referring	16:09:07
<u>18</u>	to there?	16:09:08
<u>19</u>	A. No. I'm talking about within North	16:09:08
20	America now. So there was a there was a	16:09:11
<u>21</u>	there was another situation , who	16:09:16
22	actually I had hired into Nike back in my days in	16:09:20
23	DT direct-to-consumer, he had he had made	16:09:25
<u>24</u>	his way over to North America digital brand and	16:09:28
<u>25</u>	and so we were fundamentally, we were working	16:09:35
		Page 181

1	on the same team ultimately again.	16:09:38
<u>2</u>	<u>I was I was the the head of</u>	16:09:40
<u>3</u>	or the director of North America digital and he	16:09:45
<u>4</u>	<u>I think he was doing like a a sportswear role.</u>	16:09:51
<u>5</u>	I can't remember exactly what he was doing but we	16:09:54
<u>6</u>	ended up kind of in the same team again after	16:09:56
7	after a couple years being in separate teams.	16:09:58
<u>8</u>	And decided I think he got an	16:10:01
<u>9</u>	offer from an external company and so he was	16:10:05
10	getting ready to leave Nike, and	16:10:11
<u>11</u>	took out for beers and basically offered him	16:10:16
<u>12</u>	whatever role he wanted in order to stay. I don't	16:10:25
<u>13</u>	know what compensation changes were made as well	16:10:30
<u>14</u>	there, but he was offered for him to basically	16:10:34
<u>15</u>	make he made up a role for him.	16:10:37
<u>16</u>	Again, kind of this ended up being	16:10:41
<u>17</u>	a I think it was like he did vending machines	16:10:43
<u>18</u>	for Nike devices.	16:10:46
<u>19</u>	very strange role nobody quite understood.	16:10:51
20	But at the time, you know, it's	16:10:54
21	like it's silly things where, you know, in	16:10:56
22	order to keep there, they they moved me	16:10:59
23	out of my office space in order to free it up for	16:11:03
24	even though I had teammate you know,	16:11:06
<u>25</u>	people reporting in to me, needed an office space	16:11:10
		Page 182

1	for for confidential one-on-ones with them, it	16:11:13
<u>2</u>	was more important to to keep on the team	16:11:16
<u>3</u>	and to give him what he needed and what he wanted	16:11:20
<u>4</u>	instead of doing what's right for for me and my	16:11:23
<u>5</u>	team.	16:11:26
<u>6</u>	So that was just another example of	16:11:27
<u>7</u>	of just blatant preferential treatment within the	16:11:30
8	organization when you know, for someone that	16:11:34
<u>9</u>	that and and his team perceived as	16:11:40
10	as one of the boys.	16:11:45
11	Q. Was Mr. 's team separate than	16:11:55
12	's team?	16:11:58
13	A. reported to	16:11:58
14	Q. How many people reported to	16:12:00
15	at the same time you did?	16:12:02
16	A. Hmm. Probably around six or seven	16:12:04
17	maybe. Somewhere in there. He had an admin and	16:12:06
18	probably six or seven of us or probably five or	16:12:11
19	six of us reporting to him.	16:12:14
20	Q. Okay. Who else reported to Mr or	16:12:15
21	to at the same time that you did?	16:12:18
22	A. Oh, boy. I know Paul	16:12:19
23	. There was a woman I can't remember	16:12:26
24	her name off the top of my head. Their admin. He	16:12:33
25	had an admin that reported to him. He had a	16:12:37
		Page 183

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 8 of 15

1	Mr or direct reports you told me	17:01:30
2	about? Were you the only one that was not given	17:01:35
3	larger opportunity?	17:01:38
4	A. I don't recall the experience that	17:01:40
5	others had. So I can only I can only speak	17:01:47
6	for for for myself and my team in this. I	17:01:54
7	don't recall.	17:02:01
8	Q. Okay. All right. Well, I guess just	17:02:01
9	maybe like just, you know, based on your	17:02:03
10	personal experience, was there anyone else on	17:02:05
11	team of his direct reports that you	17:02:09
12	observed as being excluded from larger	17:02:11
13	opportunities that he gave to, for example,	17:02:15
14	and ?	17:02:22
15	A. No.	17:02:22
16	Q. All right. Okay. If I can ask you to	17:02:23
17	look at Exhibit 180 again.	17:03:19
18	A. Okay.	17:03:32
19	Q. Okay. And, actually, maybe before	17:03:32
<u>20</u>	well, it's kind of later, but you referred to a	17:03:35
21	couple times to the old boys' club?	17:03:38
22	A. <u>Uh-huh.</u> <u>Yes.</u>	17:03:42
23	O. What do you mean by that?	17:03:42
24	A. I just just how I described. There	17:03:43
<u>25</u>	was a a group of guys in role in	17:03:54
		Page 202

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 9 of 15

<u>1</u>	particular on his team in particular who who	17:03:58
<u>2</u>	were you know, they went out together. They	17:04:02
<u>3</u>	partied together. They went to each other's	17:04:07
<u>4</u>	weddings. They went to each other's events. They	17:04:10
<u>5</u>	were then given additional opportunities.	17:04:17
<u>6</u>	Even in meetings, they were they	17:04:19
<u>7</u>	were treated differently. You know, their voice	17:04:27
<u>8</u>	was was definitely heard more than than mine	17:04:30
<u>9</u>	or people on my team in the room. And, you know,	17:04:37
<u>10</u>	that extended to being kind of part of	17:04:40
<u>11</u>	the friend as well, and he	17:04:46
<u>12</u>	you know, he was sort of protected.	17:04:51
<u>13</u>	And and so, yeah, the old boys!	17:04:54
<u>14</u>	team network, from my perspective, was that group	17:05:01
<u>15</u>	of guys who just they all hung together and	17:05:03
<u>16</u>	gave them a different level of	17:05:06
<u>17</u>	respect. He gave them projects that were more	17:05:11
<u>18</u>	visible. Gave them opportunities that didn't	17:05:13
<u>19</u>	exist for me.	17:05:16
20	Q. Okay. Okay. And okay. So the	17:05:20
21	group of guys on team,	17:05:32
22	Are you also including the person who worked in	17:05:39
23	basketball whose name you can't recall?	17:05:43
24	A. Yeah. There's I mean, there's	17:05:45
25	there's a few of them. I oh, was	17:05:47
		Page 203

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 10 of 15

1	party, that instance that you told me about? He	17:16:17
2	had had a dinner for the whole team and	17:16:23
3	you said the guys got in an Uber and went to	17:16:28
4	downtown Portland. Of the people on that team	17:16:33
5	that you were describing, was there anyone else	17:16:36
6	like besides you that didn't go?	17:16:41
7	A. I know the members of my team did not	17:16:44
8	go. So Chelsea, Tracy, Danielle, my I I	17:16:46
9	recall that none of them went as well.	17:16:52
10	Q. Okay. And do you know if any female	17:16:56
11	members of his team went to downtown Portland	17:17:11
12	after that holiday party?	17:17:16
13	A. I don't believe so. I believe it was	17:17:18
14	just the boys.	17:17:22
15	Q. Any male members of his team that	17:17:27
16	didn't go?	17:17:30
17	A. I I'm sure there was. Again,	17:17:33
18	same same answer. I believe there was probably	17:17:35
19	guys that did not go. Yeah.	17:17:38
20	Q. Okay. Okay. And you mentioned	17:17:41
<u>21</u>	earlier that you said was sort of protected.	17:17:54
<u>22</u>	Do you remember that testimony?	17:18:00
<u>23</u>	A. Yes.	17:18:01
<u>24</u>	Q. What do you mean by that? Or how	17:18:02
<u>25</u>	I'll ask it this way. How is he protected?	17:18:05
		Page 211

1	A. There was a general understanding	17:18:07
<u>2</u>	that and mostly because he would talk about it	17:18:11
<u>3</u>	a lot that he was a friend of s. That	17:18:14
<u>4</u>	he was was his sponsor and accelerate to	17:18:18
<u>5</u>	get him to the VP level. And and so from that	17:18:22
<u>6</u>	perspective with with in his corner,	17:18:28
<u>7</u>	was protected.	17:18:31
<u>8</u>	And and, you know, he would tell us	17:18:32
<u>9</u>	that I told you in the very first meeting, he	<u>17:18:35</u>
<u>10</u>	made it very clear that he that was his	17:18:39
<u>11</u>	mentor and advocate in the accelerate program.	17:18:42
<u>12</u>	He he was also, you know, brought over by	17:18:48
<u>13</u>	, who, you know, also made you know,	17:18:52
<u>14</u>	was was had preferential, I guess, treatment	17:18:57
<u>15</u>	of . He kind of let things go that	17:19:04
<u>16</u>	that within the organization that I don't	17:19:07
<u>17</u>	think that he probably shouldn't have.	17:19:14
<u>18</u>	And and so, you know, but he just	17:19:15
<u>19</u>	was untouchable because he was pretty clear about	17:19:17
<u>20</u>	his relationship with . That was the	17:19:20
21	biggest part of it.	17:19:23
22	Q. And when you said he kind of let	17:19:25
23	things go with respect to, I think you were	17:19:33
24	referring to there?	17:19:36
25	A. Yes.	17:19:38
		Page 212

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 12 of 15

1	response to you was strike that.	17:33:27
2	Any reason to believe that	17:33:35
3	response to you wasn't genuine?	17:33:40
4	MR. BLAKE: Objection. Calls for	17:33:43
5	speculation.	17:33:46
6	A. Yeah. I have no idea.	17:33:46
7	BY MS. ZABELE:	17:33:51
8	Q. Okay. So other than that, any other	17:33:51
9	instance in which you expressed interest in a	17:33:53
10	promotion at Nike?	17:33:56
11	A. No.	17:34:01
12	Q. And did you apply for any other	17:34:09
13	promotions at Nike well, strike that. Let me	17:34:14
14	try again.	17:34:18
15	Any other roles that you sought at	17:34:18
16	Nike that you didn't get that we haven't talked	17:34:33
17	about?	17:34:35
18	A. No.	17:34:36
19	Q. Okay. So let's okay. Back on	17:34:38
20	Exhibit 180. Okay. Paragraph six. It's the one,	17:34:49
21	two the fourth sentence. Do you see it says,	17:34:58
22	"I complained to human resources about	<u>17:35:03</u>
23	but they did not take any remedial action while I	<u>17:35:05</u>
<u>24</u>	was there"?	17:35:08
<u>25</u>	Do you see that?	17:35:09
		Page 222

Case 3:18-cv-01477-JR Document 286-3 Filed 11/16/22 Page 13 of 15

1	A. Yes.	17:35:10
<u>2</u>	Q. Okay. When did you complain to human	17:35:12
<u>3</u>	resources about	17:35:14
<u>4</u>	A. It would have been right at the end,	17:35:16
<u>5</u>	in that January-February time period.	17:35:19
<u>6</u>	O. The end of your employment at Nike?	17:35:21
7	A. Yeah. Sorry. And also around the New	17:35:25
8	York event I talked to you about, and I couldn't	17:35:28
9	tell you when that was. It would have been at the	17:35:30
10	end of probably close to the end of the year of	17:35:32
11	2014. I don't recall exactly when that sales	17:35:37
12	meeting was, but that was the other time when I	17:35:40
<u>13</u>	had conversations with HR about my frustration	17:35:43
<u>14</u>	that I was being I was being threatened with my	17:35:47
<u>15</u>	job, and so was Kerry, and we had zero	17:35:52
<u>16</u>	documentation to back that up, and was getting	17:35:55
<u>17</u>	nothing. So I I'd had that conversation with	17:35:59
<u>18</u>	Dominic as well.	17:36:02
<u>19</u>	Q. Okay. Yeah. That was my question.	17:36:03
20	So maybe just focusing on the first conversation	17:36:05
21	regarding the New York meeting at or about the end	17:36:09
22	of 2014 that you mentioned. So your conversations	17:36:12
<u>23</u>	with HR were with Dominic Mara?	17:36:16
24	A. Yes.	17:36:21
<u>25</u>	Q. How many conversations did you have	17:36:21
		Page 223

1	with Mr. Mara about that New York meeting?	17:36:25
<u>2</u>	A. We had one or two, and then we had a	17:36:30
<u>3</u>	couple of my my recollection is that there	17:36:34
<u>4</u>	was a couple of email exchanges as well where I	17:36:36
<u>5</u>	followed up. I believe I had at least one	17:36:38
<u>6</u>	conversation with Dominic and then a couple of	17:36:41
<u>7</u>	email follow-ups that I had sent off to him asking	17:36:47
<u>8</u>	him to to actually give me what they promised,	17:36:51
<u>9</u>	which was documented examples and proof of	17:36:56
<u>10</u>	performance issues.	17:37:01
<u>11</u>	O. Okay. Did you ever complain to anyone	17:37:17
<u>12</u>	else at Nike besides Dominic Mara about the	17:37:22
<u>13</u>	sorry. I just want to	17:37:36
<u>14</u>	A. Ask the question.	
<u>15</u>	Q. Yeah, yeah, yeah. Sorry. I just want	17:37:42
<u>16</u>	to make sure I'm accurately questioning you back	17:37:43
<u>17</u>	about your testimony. Just give me a sec.	17:37:50
<u>18</u>	Okay. All right. Well, did you ever	17:38:10
<u>19</u>	complain to anyone else at Nike besides Dominic	17:38:11
20	Mara about this New York meeting and what you've	17:38:15
21	called a lack of documentation at any time?	17:38:19
<u>22</u>	A. Not no. Not to HR. No.	17:38:28
23	Q. What about anyone outside HR?	17:38:31
24	A. I'm trying to think if I had a	17:38:33
25	conversation with Cindy King. I believe that I	17:38:43
		Page 224

1 CERTIFICATE 2. 3 I, Jan R. Duiven, CSR, FCRR, CRC, 4 RPR, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State 5 of Oregon, do hereby certify that, pursuant to 6 7 stipulation of counsel for the respective parties hereinbefore set forth, PAIGE AZAVEDO appeared 8 virtually before me at the time and place set 9 10 forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and 11 place I reported in Stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in the 12 13 foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were 14 reduced to typewriting under my direction; and 15 that the foregoing transcript, pages 1 to 245, both inclusive, constitutes a full, true, and 16 accurate record of all such testimony adduced and 17 oral proceedings had, and of the whole thereof. 18 Witness my hand at Eugene, Oregon, 19 20 this 12th day of February, 2021. 2.1 22 23 Jan R. Duiven, CSR, FCRR, CRC, RPR 24 CSR No. 96-0327 25 Expiration Date: September 30, 2023 Page 246